Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/677,208	CUNNINGHAM, SCOTT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JONATHAN A. HOLLM	3734	

All Participants:

(1) *JONATHAN A. HOLLM*.

(2) *Mr. Joseph Schmidt*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *7 February 2011*

Time: *9:30 AM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
Claim 20 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:
See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Amendments to claim 23 to include allowable subject matter were agreed upon for an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/JONATHAN A HOLLM/
Examiner, Art Unit 3734

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Smith (US Patent Number 4,513,747,), Kurtz et al (US Patent Number 4,128,351), Silverman (US Patent Number 5,464,422), Cottrill (US Patent Number 20,409), Allen (US Patent Number 5,403,344).